

Questions for Citizens League Policy Reviewers

1. What policy areas do you have a professional expertise or a professional interest?
2. What policy areas are you particularly interested in, but do not have a professional interest?

We would like everyone to review all the proposals if possible, but certainly ones that are within and not within there areas of interest and expertise.

Guidelines for Review of Policy Proposal Submissions

1. Those who want to review any of the proposals will have to answer the above questions.
2. Approach to reviewing proposals:
 - Did they answer the questions on the web form sufficiently: yes/no
 - Overall score for proposal on a scale of 1 to 3 (1= does not seem like a good proposal for the Citizens League; 2= good idea, needs more work; 3= excellent proposal that is ready to go)
 - Include comments: e.g. it is weak in this particular area; it is a good idea but could be combined with another proposal; etc.
 - Does the idea seem best suited for: 1.) a study committee 2.) a short-term work group 3.) a civic engagement opportunity 4.) an event 5.) exploration of a partnership, etc.
3. The reviews should come from a group that is balanced by interest and expertise and is able to compare multiple proposals.
4. Review should note any known relation to past Citizens League work.
5. Review should identify major actors and/or hurdles around a proposal to the extent possible.
6. Submitters' and reviewers names will not be attached to their proposals.
7. Policy Advisory Committee recommendations are submitted to the Board.